

# Global Credit Portal RatingsDirect®

July 27, 2010

#### **Summary:**

### Westlake City School District, Ohio; General Obligation

#### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Scott D Garrigan, Chicago (1) 312-233-7014; scott\_garrigan@standardandpoors.com

#### **Secondary Credit Analyst:**

Caroline West, Chicago 312-233-7047; caroline\_west@standardandpoors.com

#### **Table Of Contents**

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

#### **Summary:**

## Westlake City School District, Ohio; General Obligation

#### **Credit Profile**

US\$84.055 mil GO unltd sch imp taxable Build America & qual sch const bnds ser 2010A-C due 12/01/2043

Long Term Rating AA+/Stable

New

#### Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services has assigned its 'AA+' long-term rating to Westlake City School District, Ohio's series 2010A, 2010B, and 2010C general obligation (GO) unlimited-tax school improvement bonds. Depending on market conditions, the bonds will consist of tax-exempt Build America bonds and qualified school construction bonds, and the proceeds will be used for districtwide facility improvements.

The rating is based on the following characteristics:

- A large local economic base with access to employment throughout the entire Cleveland area;
- Stable enrollment levels;
- Income levels and tax base indicators we consider at least very strong;
- Consistent voter support over the past 15 years or so, in our opinion;
- A general fund balance we consider very strong; and
- Overall net debt at moderate levels, in our opinion.

Offsetting these strengths is debt amortization we consider very slow and the intent to issue additional debt to fund capital projects.

The district's unlimited-tax GO pledge secures debt service on the bonds.

It is our understanding that the district intends on levying for gross debt service one year before debt service is due, which, in our opinion, would provide for an adequate amount of revenues to be available to pay debt service if there is a delay in payment of the interest subsidy from Build America bonds or qualified school construction bonds. In addition, the district will be gross funding sinking fund payments for the qualified school construction bonds and not relying on interest earnings for debt service payments.

Westlake City School District serves the city of Westlake and a population of about 30,400. Because of its location on the west side of the Cleveland metropolitan area, residents have easy access to employment throughout the area. In addition, the city hosts a large local employment base, with the following larger employers:

- St. John-West Shore Hospital (1,273 employees),
- Hyland Software (computer software developer, 754),
- Westlake City School District (577),
- City of Westlake (420),
- Energizer Battery (corporate headquarters, 365), and

• Westbay Care and Rehabilitation (300).

In addition, the city's unemployment rate has consistently been below state and national levels; in 2009, the average rate was 7.0% while the April 2010 rate was 7.9%.

Enrollment has remained steady, and the district expects this trend to continue. Current enrollment is expected to be 4,123 for the 2010-2011 school year. Projections from the district indicate that in the 2014-2015 school year, enrollment will be 4,109.

We consider the district's other income and tax base indicators at least very strong. Median household and per capita effective buying income are very strong at 139% and 177% of national averages, respectively. Assessed value of \$1.4 billion is approximately \$3.9 million of market value; this translates to \$127,871 per capita, which we consider extremely strong.

Voter support for operations has been fairly consistent since about 1995. From 1980-1995, voters passed only three out of 14 ballot measures (although three of these were one combined bond request in May 1991). Since then, six of eight measures have passed, including the request for the 2010 bonds. District officials have regular communications with voters, including a regular newsletter, a district-run television station, and a citizens advisory committee.

Over the previous three audited years, the general fund balance has remained at a level we consider very strong, but the district expects the balance to steadily decrease due to its levy cycle. On fiscal year-end June 30, 2009, the unreserved general fund balance was \$10.9 million, or 22.8% of expenditures. On a cash basis, for 2010, the district expects to increase its cash levels by \$3 million and achieve about balanced operations for 2011. After that, the district expects its general fund cash balance to steadily decrease until it can have another property tax levy approved by voters. The last levy approval was in May 2006, and according to the district, the next levy request would likely occur in 2012 or 2013 in advance of revenues to be received during fiscal 2014.

Westlake City School District's financial management practices are considered "good" under Standard & Poor's Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology. An FMA of good indicates that the district maintains financial management practices in most areas, although all may not be formalized or regularly monitored. Highlights of these policies include regular review of budget performance with elected officials, detailed revenue and expenditure assumptions with the existence of five-year financial planning, and a formal investment policy. Although the district lacks formal debt or fund balance policies, the above strengths offset these vulnerabilities to the FMA.

Although we consider overall net debt levels moderate with low debt service carrying charges, the district's debt is retired at a pace we consider very slow. Additional debt will likely be issued in several years to complete the district's full master capital plan. Overall net debt is \$4,913 per capita and 3.8% of estimated market value. Just 40% of debt is retired in 10 years and 50% over 20 years; all of the district's debt is retired by 2043. Since 2007, the district's debt service charges have been less than 8%, which we consider low. We do not believe that the additional debt service due to the 2010 bonds will cause a significant amount of budgetary pressure, especially since the bonds are financed with a separate debt levy.

#### **Outlook**

The stable outlook is based on our expectation that the district will continue to manage its levy cycle in a manner that would produce financial results consistent with historical trends. In addition, the district's large employment base and access to employment throughout the area lend stability to the rating.

#### Related Criteria And Research

USPF Criteria: GO Debt, Oct. 12, 2006

Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal subscribers at www.globalcreditportal.com and RatingsDirect subscribers at www.ratingsdirect.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright (c) 2010 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC (S&P), a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P, its affiliates, and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P's opinions and analyses do not address the suitability of any security. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

The **McGraw·Hill** Companies